

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/074,021

Applicant(s)

AZUMA ET AL.

Examiner

Christopher RoDee

Art Unit

1756

All Participants:(1) Christopher RoDee.(2) Tammy Taylor.**Status of Application: AF**

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 September 2004**Time:** N/A**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1, 11-13, and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. Summary is attached. CR
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner noted that there was not antecedent basis for "the outermost layer" as recited in claims 11-13 and 15. The Examiner suggested that the claims be amended to specify "the photosensitive layer" as recited in claim 1. Counsel agreed to the amendment..